

## REMARKS

Reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested.

### **I. Status of the Claims**

Claims 1 - 19 are currently pending in the application.

### **II. Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103**

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-19 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Maynard* (U.S. 5,385,539) in view of *Canpolat et al.* (U.S. 6,660,995) either alone or in combination with at least one of *Hahn et al.* (U.S. 5,838,429), *Burbank et al.* (U.S. 6,582,385), *Mould* (U.S. 4,082,461), *Heinemann et al.* (U.S. 6,529,184) or *Hunley et al.* (U.S. 6,554,788).

The Examiner concedes that *Maynard* fails to disclose or suggest that the apparatus comprises “a light emission device and a single light reception device in optical connection with one another.” Further, *Maynard* teaches a hematocrit sensor that **requires** two light reception devices. If one of the two light reception devices is taken out from the hematocrit sensor in *Maynard*, the invention in *Maynard* will not function as intended.

Additionally, the Examiner contends that *Canpolat* can be cited to supplement *Maynard's* deficiency. *Canpolat* discloses an apparatus and method for using a single probe to introduce and collect light from a sample. In this regard, *Canpolat* describes that “the oscillation frequency increases with particle size...and that knowing the number of oscillations, one can determine the particle size. Furthermore, as discussed in greater detail below, particle size analysis is generally independent of the particle concentration” (*Canpolat*, column 3, lines 33-40).

In contrast, claim 1 includes the element of “a sensor connected to said blood circuit and configured to measure **hematocrit values**.” Similarly, claim 14 recites a method comprising



**CONCLUSION**

Applicants believe the pending application is in condition for allowance. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to pass this application to issue.

The Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number indicated below if the Examiner believes any issue can be resolved through either a Supplemental Response or an Examiner's Amendment.

Dated: August 20, 2007

Respectfully submitted

By 

Louis J. DelJuidice

Registration No.: 47,522

DARBY & DARBY P.C.

P.O. Box 5257

New York, New York 10150-5257

(212) 527-7700

(212) 527-7701 (Fax)

Attorneys/Agents For Applicant